Reviewer 1:	
Reviewer Affiliation	
Manuscript ID:	
Manuscript Type:	
Keywords:	
Date Submitted:	
Manuscript Title:	
Date Assigned:	
Date Review Returned:	

Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?

Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?

Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?

Results: Are results presented clearly and analyzed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?

Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

req**Recommendation**

Accept

Minor Revision

Major Revision

Reject

Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?

Yes

No

Comments

Confidential Comments to the Editor

Comments to the Author

Reviewer opted in to receive recognition on Web of Science? (Yes/No answer required)

Yes

No

Use the below rating options to rate the reviewer on <u>this</u> submitted review. The rating options have corresponding numerical values which are averaged to determine an "R-Score" for reviewers. The "R-Score" for a reviewer displays as part of the reviewer search results to give you an indication of past performance.